Interactions between the voracious heterotrophic nanoflagellate *Katablepharis japonica* and common heterotrophic protists
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Recently, the heterotrophic nanoflagellate *Katablepharis japonica* has been reported to feed on diverse red-tide species and contribute to the decline of red tides. However, if there are effective predators feeding on *K. japonica*, its effect on red tide dynamics may be reduced. To investigate potential effective protist predators of *K. japonica*, feeding by the engulfment-feeding heterotrophic dinoflagellates (HTDs) *Oxyrrhis marina*, *Gyrodinium dominans*, *Gyrodinium moestrupii*, *Polykrikos kofoidii*, and *Noctiluca scintillans*, the peduncle-feeding HTDs *Luciella masanensis* and *Pfiesteria piscicida*, the pallium-feeding HTD *Oblea rotunda*, and the naked ciliates *Strombidium* sp. (approximately 20 μm in cell length), *Pelagostrobilidium* sp., and *Miamiensis* sp. on *K. japonica* was explored. We found that none of these heterotrophic protists fed on actively swimming cells of *K. japonica*. However, *O. marina*, *P. kofoidii*, and *L. masanensis* and *P. piscicida* were able to feed on heat-killed *K. japonica*. Thus, actively swimming behavior of *K. japonica* may affect feeding by these heterotrophic protists on *K. japonica*. To the contrary, *K. japonica* was able to feed on *O. marina*, *P. kofoidii*, *O. rotunda*, *Miamiensis* sp., *Pelagostrobilidium* sp., and *Strombidium* sp. However, the specific growth rates of *O. marina* did not differ significantly among nine different *K. japonica* concentrations. Thus, *K. japonica* may not affect growth of *O. marina*. Our findings suggest that the effect of predation by heterotrophic protists on *K. japonica* might be negligible, and thus, the effect of grazing by *K. japonica* on populations of red-tide species may not be reduced by mortality due to predation by protists.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Marine heterotrophic nanoflagellates (HNFs) are major components of marine planktonic food webs (e.g., Patterson and Larsen 1991). They are known to be major predators of marine bacteria (Fenchel 1982, Azam et al. 1983, Sieburth 1984). Recently, the free-living HNF *Katablepharis japonica* has been reported to feed on red-tide dinoflagellates and raphidophytes (Kwon et al. 2017). In turn, some HNFs such as *Cafeteria* spp., *Spumella* spp., and *Bodo* spp. are known to be prey for some heterotrophic dinoflagellates (HTDs) and ciliates (Jürgens et al. 1996, Jeong et al. 2007c). Therefore, they are known to play an important role in the transfer of bacteria and microalgae to HTDs and ciliates in marine microbial loops. However, still feeding by common heterotrophic protists
on several important HNF species that can affect the dynamics of red-tide species or bacteria is poorly understood until date.

*K. japonica* is a common HNF in Korean waters and was found in the waters of Japan and the United States (Okamoto and Inouye 2005, Kahn et al. 2014, Kwon et al. 2017). Recently, this species has been reported to feed on diverse phytoplankton species (Kwon et al. 2017). Interestingly, it can grow fast by feeding on suitable phytoplankton species, but it does not grow on bacteria, unlike most HNFs (Kwon et al. 2017). Furthermore, it was suggested that *K. japonica* may have a considerable potential grazing impact on populations of a red-tide species. However, if its mortality due to predation is high, the effect of *K. japonica* on populations of the red-tide species could be reduced. To the best of our knowledge, no studies have been reported on the protist predators of *K. japonica*. To understand the roles of *K. japonica* in red tide dynamics, mortality due to predation should be determined.

In addition, HTDs and ciliates are major components in marine ecosystems (Stoecker et al. 1984, Hansen 1991, Jeong 1999, Levinsen and Nielsen 2002, Sherr and Sherr 2007, Jeong et al. 2010, 2015, Yoo et al. 2013a, Lim et al. 2017b). They feed on diverse types of prey, such as bacteria, phytoplankton including red-tide species, mixotrophic, or heterotrophic protists, eggs and early naupliar stages of metazooplankton, and sometimes control populations of their prey (Hansen 1992, Jeong 1994, Montagnes et al. 1996, Jeong et al. 2004, 2008a, 2008b, Kamiyama and Matsuyama 2005, Turner 2006, Yoo et al. 2013b, Lee et al. 2014a, Jang et al. 2016, Lim et al. 2017b). In general, due to much higher abundances of HTDs or ciliates than those of metazooplankton, effect of grazing on prey populations by the former grazers is usually greater than that by the latter grazers (Kim et al. 2013, Yoo et al. 2013a). Thus, to understand the roles of potential prey species in food webs, feeding by HTDs or ciliates on the prey should be explored.

In general, HTDs and ciliates have two major feeding mechanisms, raptorial feeding and filter feeding (e.g., Fenchel 1980, Hansen and Calado 1999). In raptorial feeding, there are three major feeding mechanisms—engulfment feeding, peduncle feeding, and pallium feeding (Jacobson 1987, Jeong and Latz 1994, Kiřhboe and Titelman 1998, Berge et al. 2008, Jeong et al. 2010, Lim et al. 2014, Lee et al. 2015). Using these diverse feeding mechanisms, they are able to feed on prey species having diverse size, shape, behavior, biochemistry, etc. (Jeong et al. 2010). Therefore, in the present study, we aimed to investigate the potential effects of protist predators on *K. japonica*, feeding by the engulfment-feeding HTDs *Oxyrrhis marina*, *Gyrodinium dominans*, *Gyrodinium moestripii*, *Polykrikos kofoidii*, and *Noctiluca scintillans*, the peduncle-feeding HTDs *Luciella masanensis* and *Pfiesteria piscicida*, the pallium-feeding HTD *Oblea rotunda*, and the naked ciliates *Strombidium* sp. (approximately 20 μm in cell length), *Pelagostrobilidium* sp., and *Miamia* sp. on *K. japonica* was explored. The strain of *K. japonica* used in the present study was originally isolated from Masan Bay, where most of these heterotrophic protists were isolated or reported to be abundant at certain times (Jeong et al. 2007a, 2007b, Yoo et al. 2013a, Kwon et al. 2017). Furthermore, these heterotrophic protists have often been found in the waters of many other countries as well (Strom and Buskey 1993, Watts et al. 2010, Moestrup et al. 2014, Grzebyk et al. 2017). Some dinoflagellates are known to feed on, kill, lyse, or immobilize some common heterotrophic protists (Kim et al. 2017, Ok et al. 2017). Therefore, we investigated whether *K. japonica* attacks common heterotrophic protists or not. The results of the present study provide a basis for understanding the interactions between *K. japonica* and common heterotrophic protist species, and their ecological roles in the marine planktonic community.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Preparation of experimental organisms**

A clonal culture of *K. japonica* KJMS1610, which was isolated from plankton samples collected from Masan Bay, Korea in October 2016, was used in the present study (Kwon et al. 2017). The mixotrophic dinoflagellate *Akashiwo sanguinea* was provided as prey every day. As the concentration of *K. japonica* increased, the culture was sequentially transferred to 50-, 250-, and 800-mL flasks containing fresh prey (approximately 10,000 cells mL⁻¹). The flasks were filled to capacity with freshly filtered seawater, capped, and placed on a shelf at 20°C under an illumination of 20 μE m⁻² s⁻¹ provided by cool white fluorescent lights, under a 14 : 10 h light : dark cycle. The mean equivalent spherical diameter and carbon content of *K. japonica* were 8.1 μm and 0.043 ng C per cell, respectively (Kwon et al. 2017).

For the isolation and culture of the HTD predators *G. dominans*, *G. moestripii*, *L. masanensis*, *N. scintillans*, *O. rotunda*, *O. marina*, and *P. kofoidii*, plankton samples were collected by using water samplers, from the coastal waters off Saemankeum, Jangheung, Jinhae, or Shiwha.
Korea during 2008-2016 (Table 1). A clonal culture of each species was established by using two serial single-cell isolations (Table 1). A clonal culture of *P. piscicida* was obtained from National Center for Marine Algae and Microbiota, United States.

For the isolation and culture of the ciliate *Strombidium* sp. (approximate cell length 20 μm), plankton samples were collected by using a 10-μm mesh from Jinhae Bay, Korea in July 2017, when the water temperature and salinity were 23.8°C and 28.3, respectively (Table 1). A clonal culture of *Strombidium* sp. was established by using two serial single-cell isolations. For the isolation and culture of the ciliate *Pelagostrobilidium* sp. (approximate cell length 50 μm), plankton samples were collected by using a 10-μm mesh, in coastal waters off Tongyoung, Korea in August 2017 when the water temperature and salinity were 27.2°C and 31.5, respectively (Table 1). Furthermore, the scuticociliate *Miamiensis* sp. B1 was isolated from an infected larva of *Clithon retrofiticus* in the waters off Bieung Island, western Korea in May 2016, when water temperature and salinity were 20.8°C and 31.3, respectively (Kim et al. 2017).

The carbon contents of the HTDs and the ciliate were estimated from the cell volume, according to the procedure of Menden-Deuer and Lessard (2000). The cell volumes of the predators were estimated using the methods of Kim and Jeong (2004) and Yoon et al. (2012) for *G. dominans* and *G. moestrupii*, that of Jeong et al. (2008b) for *O. marina*, Jeong et al. (2001b) for *P. kofoidii*, Jeong et al. (2007a, 2007b) for *L. masanensis* and *P. piscicida*, and the cell volumes of *N. scintillans*, *O. rotunda*, *Strombidium* sp., *Pelagostrobilidium* sp., and *Miamiensis* sp. were measured in this study (Table 1).

### Interactions between *Katablepharis japonica* and heterotrophic protists

Experiment (Exp.) 1 was designed to investigate interactions between *K. japonica* and each of the heterotrophic protists after two components were mixed (Table 2). In this experiment, it was tested whether the target heterotrophic protist is able to feed on *K. japonica* and vice versa.

A culture of approximately 8,000 cells mL⁻¹ of *K. japonica* growing on *A. sanguinea* was transferred to a single 250-mL culture flask containing freshly filtered seawater after *A. sanguinea* became undetectable. This culture was maintained for 1 day. Three 1-mL aliquots were then removed from the flask and examined with a light microscope to determine the concentration of *K. japonica*.

### Table 1. Isolation and maintenance conditions for the experimental organisms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Heterotrophic dinoflagellate</th>
<th>Ciliate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heterotrophic dinoflagellates</strong></td>
<td>Gyrodinium dominans</td>
<td>Noctiluca scintillans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gyrodinium moestrupii</td>
<td>Oblea rotunda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lucilia masanensis</td>
<td>Polykrikos kofoidii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Northolla scintillans</td>
<td>Pfiesteria piscicida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gyrodinium dominans (CCMP3085)</td>
<td>Strombidium sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ciliate</strong></td>
<td>Pelagostrobilidium sp.</td>
<td>Miamiensis sp. B1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Sampling location and time, water temperature (T, °C), salinity (S), prey species (PC, cells mL⁻¹), and feeding mechanisms (FM), prey species, and prey concentrations (PC, cells mL⁻¹) for maintenance.** | EG, engulfment feeder; PE, peduncle feeder; PA, pallium feeder | - not able to feed. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Shihwa Bay, Korea | Off Saiam, Korea | Jinhoe Bay, Korea | Tongyoung, Korea | Bieung Island, Korea | Jangheung Bay, Korea | Tongyoung, Korea |
| 19.7 | 19.2 | 26.4 | 23.8 | 23.8 | 23.8 | 23.8 |
| 31.3 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.5 | 31.5 | 31.5 | 31.5 |
| PC | PC | PC | PC | PC | PC | PC |
| 1,500-2,000 | 1,500-2,000 | 1,500-2,000 | 1,500-2,000 | 1,500-2,000 | 1,500-2,000 | 1,500-2,000 |
| FM | FM | FM | FM | FM | FM | FM |
| EG | EG | EG | EG | EG | EG | EG |
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The initial concentrations of *K. japonica* (approximately 5,000-8,000 cells mL\(^{-1}\)) and each of the target heterotrophic protist species were established using an autopipette to deliver a predetermined volume of culture with a known cell density into the wells of 6-well plate chambers. For each heterotrophic protist species, duplicate wells containing mixtures of *K. japonica* and heterotrophic protist (a total of 5 mL in each well), duplicate predator control wells containing a culture of heterotrophic protists only (a total of 5 mL in each well), and duplicate prey control wells containing a culture of *K. japonica* only (a total of 5 mL in each well) were established. The plate chambers were placed on a shelf and incubated under a 14:10 h light:dark cycle of 20 μE m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) of cool white fluorescent light.

After 2 and 24 h, >30 target predator cells in the well was monitored using an inverted epifluorescence microscope equipped with differential interference contrast at a magnification of 50-200× to determine whether the target predator species is able to feed on *K. japonica* or not. The feeding process of each of these heterotrophic protists on *K. japonica* was recorded using a video analyzing system (Sony DVC-C33; Sony Co., Tokyo, Japan) and also captured using a digital camera. The reciprocal feeding of *K. japonica* on each of the heterotrophic protists was tested and recorded in the same manners as described above.

Exp. 2 was designed to investigate the interactions between heat-killed *K. japonica* cells and each of the heterotrophic protists after the two taxa were mixed (Table 2). To prepare heat-killed *K. japonica* cells, a dense culture of *K. japonica* (approximately 5,000-8,000 cells mL\(^{-1}\)) was added to a conical tube, which was then placed in a 100-mL beaker containing 60°C water for 40 s. The heat-killed *K. japonica* cells were confirmed to be motionless, but not decomposed, under a dissecting microscope. After 2 and 24 h of incubation, >30 cells of each predator in the plate chambers were observed as in Exp. 1, under an epifluorescence microscope at a magnification of 50-200×, to determine whether the predator was able to feed on the heat-killed *K. japonica* cells.

**Effects of the *Katablepharis japonica* concentration on the growth rate of *Oxyrrhis marina***

In Exp. 1, there was no heterotrophic protist that is capable of feeding on actively swimming *K. japonica*. To the contrary, *K. japonica* fed on *O. marina*. Thus, Exp. 3 was designed to measure the growth rate of *O. marina* as a function of *K. japonica* concentration (Table 2).

For the experiment on *A. sanguinea* prey, cultures of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No.</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Prey density</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Predator density</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Katablepharis japonica</em> (living cells)</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td><em>Gyrodinium dominans</em></td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td><em>Gyrodinium moestrupii</em></td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td><em>Noctiluca scintillans</em></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td><em>Oblea rotundula</em></td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td><em>Oxyrrhis marina</em></td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td><em>Polykrikos kofoidii</em></td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td><em>Pfiesteria piscicida</em></td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td><em>Luciella masanensis</em></td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td><em>Miamiensis sp.</em></td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td><em>Strombidium sp.</em></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td><em>Pelagostrobilidium sp.</em></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>Katablepharis japonica</em> (heat-killed cells)</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td><em>Gyrodinium dominans</em></td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td><em>Gyrodinium moestrupii</em></td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td><em>Noctiluca scintillans</em></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td><em>Oblea rotundula</em></td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td><em>Oxyrrhis marina</em></td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td><em>Polykrikos kofoidii</em></td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td><em>Pfiesteria piscicida</em></td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td><em>Luciella masanensis</em></td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td><em>Miamiensis sp.</em></td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td><em>Strombidium sp.</em></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td><em>Pelagostrobilidium sp.</em></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>Katablepharis japonica</em> (living cells)</td>
<td>998, 1,913, 2,948, 3,517, 5,818, 9,650, 14,417, 23,475</td>
<td><em>Oxyrrhis marina</em></td>
<td>37, 58, 76, 97, 146, 203, 269, 329 (408)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The numbers in the prey and predator columns are the target initial densities (cells mL\(^{-1}\)) of prey and predator for Exp. 1 and 2, and the actual initial densities for Exp. 3. The values within parentheses in the predator column in Exp. 3 are the predator densities in the control bottles.
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approximately 11,000 cells mL\(^{-1}\) of \textit{K. japonica} growing on \textit{A. sanguinea} were transferred to a single 250-mL culture flask containing freshly filtered seawater after \textit{A. sanguinea} became undetectable. This culture was maintained for 1 day. Three 1-mL aliquots were then collected from the flask and examined using a light microscope to determine the concentration of \textit{K. japonica}.

The initial concentrations of \textit{K. japonica} and \textit{A. sanguinea} were established using an autopipette to deliver predetermined volumes of known cell concentrations to the flask. For each predator-prey combination, triplicate experimental 50-mL culture flasks (containing a mixture of \textit{K. japonica} and \textit{O. marina}) and triplicate control flasks (containing a culture of \textit{K. japonica} only) were established. Moreover, triplicate control flasks containing only \textit{O. marina} were established at a single concentration. The flasks were filled to 20 mL with freshly filtered seawater, capped, and then placed on a shelf at 20\(^\circ\)C under a 14 : 10 h light : dark cycle of 20 \(\mu\)E m\(^{-2}\)s\(^{-1}\) of cool white fluorescent light. To determine the actual initial predator and prey densities (cells mL\(^{-1}\)) at the beginning of the experiment (Table 2) and after a 2-day incubation period, 10-mL aliquots were removed from each flask and fixed with 5\% Lugol’s solution. Then, all \textit{K. japonica} cells and all or >300 prey cells in three 1-mL Sedgwick-Rafter chambers were enumerated. Only 10 mL water in each 50-mL flask after subsampling at the beginning of the experiment was incubated to create a shallow depth in the flasks for increasing the encounter rates between \textit{O. marina} and \textit{K. japonica}, because \textit{K. japonica} swam near the bottom of the flask.

The specific growth rate of \textit{O. marina} was calculated as follows:

\[ \mu = \frac{\ln (C_t / C_0)}{t} \]  

where \(C_0\) is the initial concentration of \textit{O. marina} and \(C_t\) is the final concentration after time \(t\). The period was 2 days. The mean prey concentration was calculated using the equation of Frost (1972). The ingestion and clearance rates were calculated using the equations of Frost (1972) and Heinbokel (1978).

**RESULTS**

**Interactions between \textit{Katablepharis japonica} and common heterotrophic protists**

For 24 h, none of the heterotrophic protists tested in this study fed on actively swimming cells of \textit{K. japonica} successfully (Table 3). In video recording for ca. 1 h, there were many encounters between \textit{K. japonica} and each of the heterotrophic protists studied, but feeding by the heterotrophic protists on \textit{K. japonica} were not observed (Figs 1 & 2, Supplementary videos S1 & S2). Interestingly, \textit{O. marina}, \textit{G. dominans}, \textit{G. moestrupii}, \textit{L. masanensis}, \textit{P. piscicida}, Pelagostrobilidium sp., \textit{Strombidium} sp., and

### Table 3. Taxa, size, and concentration of potential heterotrophic dinoflagellate and naked ciliate predators offered to \textit{Katablepharis japonica}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential predators</th>
<th>ESD (µm)</th>
<th>Initial predator concentration (cells mL(^{-1}))</th>
<th>By potential predators</th>
<th>By \textit{K. japonica}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heterotrophic dinoflagellate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Physical attack</td>
<td>Successful capture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{Luciella masanensis}</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{Pfiesteria piscicida}</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{Oxyrrhis marina}</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{Gyrodinium moestrupii}</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{Gyrodinium dominans}</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{Oblea rotunda}</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{Polykrikos kofoidii}</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{Noctiluca scintillans}</td>
<td>422.2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naked ciliate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Physical attack</td>
<td>Successful capture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{Miamiensis} sp.</td>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{Strombidium} sp.</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{Pelagostrobilidium} sp.</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The initial concentrations of \textit{K. japonica} were 5,000-8,000 cells mL\(^{-1}\). Mean equivalent spherical diameter (ESD, µm).

O, observed; X, not observed.

\*Rarely attacked.
Fig. 1. No feeding by engulfment feeding heterotrophic dinoflagellates on *Katablepharis japonica* recorded using video microscopy for approximately 1 h. (A-C) No feeding by *Oxyrrhis marina* (Om) on *K. japonica* (Kj) cells. (D-F) No feeding by *Gyrodinium dominans* (Gd) on Kj cells. (G-I) No feeding by *Gyrodinium moestrupii* (Gm) on Kj cells. (J-L) No feeding by *Polykrikos kofoidii* (Pk) on Kj cells. (M-O) No feeding by *Oblea rotunda* (Or) on Kj cells. Red arrows and blue arrowheads indicate Kj cells and heterotrophic protists. The numbers indicate h : min : s. Scale bars represent: A-O, 25 μm.
Fig. 2. No feeding by tentacle (A–C) and peduncle feeding heterotrophic dinoflagellates (D–I) and ciliates (J–O) on Katablepharis japonica recorded using video microscopy for approximately 1 h. (A–C) No feeding by Noctiluca scintillans (Ns) on K. japonica (Kj) cells. (D–F) No feeding by Pfiesteria piscicida (Pp) on Kj cells. (G–I) No feeding by Luciella masanensis (Lm) on Kj cells. (J–L) No feeding by Miamiensis sp. (Mia) on Kj cells. (M–O) No feeding by Pelagostrobilidium sp. (Pst) on Kj cells. Red arrows and blue arrowheads indicate Kj cells and heterotrophic protists. The numbers indicate h : min : s. Scale bars represent: A–C, 50 µm; D–O, 25 µm.
Fig. 3. The feeding process of heterotrophic dinoflagellates on heat-killed Katablepharis japonica (Kj) cells recorded using video microscopy. (A-F) Feeding by Oxyrrhis marina (Om) on a heat-killed Kj cell. (G-L) Feeding by Gyrodinium dominans (Gd) on a heat-killed Kj cell. (M-R) Feeding by Pfiesteria piscicida (Pp) on a heat-killed Kj cell. (S-X) Feeding by Luciella massanensis (Lm) on a heat-killed Kj cell. Red arrows and blue arrowheads indicate Kj cells and heterotrophic protists. The numbers indicate min : s. Scale bars represent: A-X, 25 µm.
Fig. 4. The feeding process of *Katablepharis japonica* (Kj) on heterotrophic dinoflagellates recorded using video microscopy. (A-F) Kj cells feeding an *Oxyrrhis marina* (Om) cell. (G-L) Kj cells feeding on a *Polykrikos kofoidii* (Pk) cell. (M-R) Kj cells feeding an *Oblea rotunda* (Or) cell. Red arrows and blue arrowheads indicate Kj cells and heterotrophic protists. The numbers indicate min : s. Scale bars represent: A-R, 25 µm.
Fig. 5. The feeding process of *Katablepharis japonica* (Kj) on ciliates recorded using video microscopy. (A–F) Kj cells feeding a *Miamiensis* sp. (Mia) cell. (G–L) Kj cells feeding on a *Strombidium* sp. (Stm) cell. (M–R) Kj cells feeding an *Pelagostrobilidium* sp. (Pst) cell. Red arrows and blue arrowheads indicate Kj cells and heterotrophic protists. The numbers indicate min : s. Scale bars represent: A–R, 25 µm.
**Fig. 6.** Specific growth rates of Oxyrrhis marina as a function of mean Katablepharis japonica (Ki) concentration. Symbols represent treatment means ± 1 standard error. No difference in the growth rates was observed at the different Kj concentrations (p > 0.1, ANOVA).

*Miamiensis* sp. attempted to feed on them, but *N. scintillans*, *O. rotunda*, and *P. kofoidii* did not attempt to feed on *K. japonica* cells (Table 3).

When heat-killed *K. japonica* cells were provided, *O. marina*, *G. dominans*, *L. masanensis*, and *P. piscicida* were able to feed on them, but the other heterotrophic protists studied did not feed on the heat-killed cells (Table 3, Fig. 3, Supplementary videos S3 & S4).

To the contrary, *K. japonica* were able to feed on actively swimming cells of *O. marina*, *P. kofoidii*, *O. rotunda*, *Miamiensis* sp., *Pelagostrobilidium* sp., and *Strombidium* sp., but it did not feed on *G. dominans*, *G. moestrupii*, *L. masanensis*, *N. scintillans*, and *P. piscicida* (Table 3, Figs 4 & 5, Supplementary videos S5-S10). Only a few *K. japonica* cells first attacked a HTD prey cell or a ciliate cell, but many *K. japonica* cells approached and attacked together (Figs 4 & 5). After a few *K. japonica* cells attacked a heterotrophic protist cell, the attacked cells almost disappeared within ca. 60 s for *O. marina*, 360 s for *P. kofoidii*, 280-285 s for *Miamiensis* sp. and *Strombidium* sp., 159 s for *Pelagostrobilidium* sp. and 1,200 s for *O. rotunda* (Figs 4 & 5).

**Effects of the Katablepharis japonica concentration on the growth rate of Oxyrrhis marina**

When nine different *K. japonica* concentrations were provided, the specific growth rates of *O. marina* were not significantly different from one another (p > 0.1, ANOVA) (Fig. 6).  

**DISCUSSION**

**Interactions between Katablepharis japonica and common heterotrophic protists**


Of the HTDs included in the present study, *O. marina*, *G. dominans*, *L. masanensis*, and *P. piscicida* fed on heat-killed *K. japonica* cells, whereas *G. moestrupii*, *N. scintillans*, *O. rotunda*, *P. kofoidii* did not feed on even heat-killed cells. Furthermore, *O. marina*, *G. dominans*, *L. masanensis*, and *P. piscicida* attempted to feed on them. Therefore, the active swimming behavior of *K. japonica* is likely to be the primary cause for the lack of feeding by these four HTDs.

The HTDs *N. scintillans*, *O. rotunda*, and *P. kofoidii* did not feed on heat-killed *K. japonica* cells or attempt to feed on actively swimming *K. japonica* cells. Before ingesting prey cells, *N. scintillans* uses a tentacle to capture prey cells. *O. rotunda* uses a tow filament, and *P. kofoidii* uses a nematocyst-taeniocyst complex (Strom and Buskey 1993, Körboe and Titelman 1998, Matsuoka et al. 2000, Jeong et al. 2001b). Therefore, *K. japonica* cells may not draw a use of the tentacle or deployment of a tow filament or a nematocyst-taeniocyst complex.

Interestingly, the ciliates *Pelagostrobilidium* sp., *Strombidium* sp., and *Miamiensis* sp. attempted to feed on actively swimming *K. japonica* cells, but they did not feed on heat-killed cells. Therefore, these ciliates may need a stimulus from prey cells to attack them. Feeding by some ciliates is known to be affected by mechanical or chemi-
cal stimuli of prey cells (e.g., Buskey and Stoecker 1989, Jakobsen et al. 2006).

Cells of K. japonica are able to feed on actively swimming cells of O. marina, P. kofoidii, O. rotunda, Miamensis sp., Pelagodrobia bilidium sp., and Strombidium sp. The duration from the time when a few K. japonica cells attacked a heterotrophic protist cell to that when the attacked cells almost disappeared was the shortest for the naked dinoflagellate O. marina (60 s), intermediate for the naked dinoflagellate P. kofoidii and naked ciliates Miamensis sp., Pelagodrobia bilidium sp., and Strombidium sp. (159-360 s), but the longest for the thecate dinoflagellate O. rotunda (1,200 s). The cell volumes of P. kofoidii and the ciliates are much greater than that of O. rotunda. Therefore, the presence of the theca of O. rotunda may be partially responsible for the greater duration, as compared to that of the protists that do not have theca.

Effects of the Katablepharis japonica concentration on the growth rate of Oxyrrhis marina

O. marina does not feed on actively swimming K. japonica, but it is able to feed on heat-killed K. japonica cells. Thus, O. marina may feed on dead K. japonica cells in natural environments. However, K. japonica is able to feed on O. marina. Thus, determining growth rate (or survival rate) of O. marina as a function of K. japonica is needed. When suitable prey species are provided, the specific growth rates of O. marina usually increase rapidly and then become saturated with increasing prey concentration. The phototrophic dinoflagellate prey Amphidinium carterae, Azadinium cf. poporum, Biecheleria cincta, Gymnodinium aureolum, Symbiodinium voratum, the HTD Pfiesteria piscicida, the haptophyte Isochrysis galbana, the euglenophyte Eutreptiella gymnastica, and the raphidophyte Heterosigma akashiwo cause this positive trend (Jeong et al. 2001a, 2003, 2007b, 2011, 2014, Kim-mance et al. 2006, Yoo et al. 2010, 2013c, Potvin et al. 2013). However, when inhibitory species are provided, with increasing prey concentration, the specific growth rates of O. marina usually decrease; the phototrophic dinoflagellates Alexandrium pohangense, Alexandrium tamarense, Paragymnodinium shiwaenaense, and Takaya-ma helix cause this negative trend (Tillmann and John 2002, Kim et al. 2016, Jeong et al. 2017, Ok et al. 2017). However, in the present study, the specific growth rates of O. marina were not significantly different among the nine different K. japonica concentrations. Thus, K. japonica may neither markedly support nor inhibit the growth of O. marina.

Ecological importance

The results of the present study and those of our previous study (Kwon et al. 2017) suggest that the HNF K. japonica could be an effective grazer on diverse red-tide organisms with negligible mortality due to heterotrophic protist predators. Thus, when establishing models for predicting the process of red tide formation, grazing impact by K. japonica on the red-tide organisms and low mortality of K. japonica should be considered. Furthermore, in the microbial loop, flagellates are positioned between bacteria or algal prey and ciliates (Azam et al. 1983). However, K. japonica is not fed on by ciliates. Thus, K. japonica may not be a link between bacteria or algal prey and ciliates. Moreover, the maximum growth rate of K. japonica is lower than that of the other HNFs (Kwon et al. 2017). Thus, the low mortality rate due to predation by common heterotrophic protists may compensate the relatively low growth rate of K. japonica.
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SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary video S1. No feeding by Oxyrrhis marina (Om) on Katablepharis japonica (Kj) (http://www.e-algae.org).

Supplementary video S2. No feeding by Oblea rotunda (Or) on Katablepharis japonica (Kj) (http://www.e-algae.org).

Supplementary video S3. Feeding by Oxyrrhis marina (Om) on heat-killed Katablepharis japonica (Kj) (http://www.e-algae.org).

Supplementary video S4. Feeding by Gyrodinium dominans (Gd) on heat-killed Katablepharis japonica (Kj) (http://www.e-algae.org).
Supplementary video S5. *Katablepharis japonica* (Kj) feeding on *Oxyrrhis marina* (Om) (http://www.e-algae.org).


Supplementary video S7. *Katablepharis japonica* (Kj) feeding on *Oblea rotunda* (Or) (http://www.e-algae.org).

Supplementary video S8. *Katablepharis japonica* (Kj) feeding on *Miamiensis* sp. (Mia) (http://www.e-algae.org).

Supplementary video S9. *Katablepharis japonica* (Kj) feeding on *Strombidium* sp. (Stm) (http://www.e-algae.org).
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